

The power and wisdom of prevention. Cardiovascular risk, new challenge, and approach to PLWH

Ricardo Massmann^a, David Jilich^b, Lukáš Fleischhans^b, Marek Malý^c,
Ladislav Machala^d

^a Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Na Bulovce Hospital, Prague

^b Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Na Bulovce Hospital, Prague

^c Department of Biostatistics, National Institute of Public Health, Prague

^d Department of Infectious Diseases, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Na Bulovce Hospital, Prague and HIV/AIDS Center – Department of Infectious Diseases, Bulovka University Hospital, Prague

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Submitted: 21. 9. 2021

Revised: 31. 10. 2021

Accepted: 16. 11. 2021

Available online: 14. 6. 2022

Klíčová slova:

Antiretroviróvá terapie

Ateroskleróza

Kardiovaskulární rizikové faktory

Virus lidské imunodeficiency

SOUHRN

Kvalita života je v posledních letech jedním z důležitých bodů diskuse mezi odborníky pracujícími s lidmi žijícími s HIV (PLWH).

Se zavedením vysoce účinné antiretroviróvé terapie (1996) se dramaticky zvýšila očekávaná délka života a aterosklerotická onemocnění se stala významnou příčinou morbiditu a mortality osob infikovaných virem lidské imunodeficiency (HIV).

Kardiovaskulární onemocnění jsou třetí nejčastější příčinou úmrtí u pacientů s HIV hned po malignitách nesouvisejících s AIDS a infekcích nesouvisejících s AIDS.

Jeden z mnoha aspektů v léčbě HIV pozitivních osob se týká prevence kardiovaskulárních onemocnění.

Absolutní riziko vzniku závažných kardiovaskulárních onemocnění (KVO), například náhlé srdeční smrti, srdeční zástavy a cévní mozkové příhody, je u pacientů infikovaných HIV, kteří dostávají antiretroviróvou léčbu, stále nízké. Toto riziko je však zvýšené ve srovnání s rizikem u neinfikovaných osob. Tato skutečnost je v podstatě způsobena vyšším výskytem tradičních kardiovaskulárních rizikových faktorů, které jsou většinou závislé na hostiteli. Různé typy antiretroviróvé léčby mají různý dopad na metabolické účinky a KVO. Prevence kardiovaskulárních onemocnění u pacientů infikovaných HIV je důležitým cílem pro lepší kvalitu života. Tradiční rizikové faktory by měly být odhaleny a pokud možno důrazně léčeny, aby se zabránilo vzniku závažných nežádoucích srdečních příhod.

© 2022, ČKS.

ABSTRACT

In recent years, quality of life is one of the important points of discussion among specialists working with people living with HIV (PLWH).

With the introduction of highly effective antiretroviral therapy (1996), the expectation of life has dramatically increased and atherosclerotic diseases have become an important cause of morbidity and mortality in people infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Cardiovascular diseases are the third leading cause of mortality in HIV patients behind non-AIDS-related malignancies and non-AIDS-related infection.

One of the many aspects in the treatment of PLWH concerns the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.

The absolute risk of developing major cardiovascular disease events (CVD), for example, sudden cardiac death, cardiac arrest, and stroke in HIV-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy is still low. However, this risk is increased compared to the risk of uninfected people. This fact is substantially due to a higher prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors that are mostly dependent on the host. Different types of antiretroviral treatment impact differently on metabolic effects and CVD. Prevention of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients is an important goal for a better quality of life. Traditional risk factors should be detected and treated vigorously when possible, to avoid the development of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE).

Keywords:

Antiretroviral therapy

Atherosclerosis

Cardiovascular disease

Human immunodeficiency virus

Address: MUDr. Ricardo Massmann, Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Na Bulovce Hospital, Budínová 67/2, 180 81 Prague 8, e-mail: ricardo.massmann@bulovka.cz,

DOI: 10.33678/cor.2021.132

Introduction

The reduction in mortality in PLWH has transformed HIV into a long-term chronic illness for many patients, characterized by an aging HIV-infected population who are increasingly affected by age-related non-communicable diseases (NCDs).

Background combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has significantly increased survival among HIV-positive adults, have dramatically reduced mortality and improved the quality of life of HIV-infected patients. Although the survival rate of the HIV-infected patient is generally lower than that of the non-HIV population.¹ Survival rates are estimated to overlap with the general population when the patient has been on antiretroviral therapy for more than 5 years with immunologic recovery. Cardiovascular diseases are the third leading cause of mortality in HIV patients behind non-AIDS related malignancies (11.8%) and non-AIDS related infection (8.2%).^{2,3} For this reason, cardiovascular diseases and preventive medicine have become a focus in PLWH.⁴

Initially the introduction of protease inhibitors (PIs) was associated with hyperlipidemia and consequently even a higher risk of major cardiovascular events.^{5,6} However, the risk of CVD, including acute myocardial infarction,⁷ sudden cardiac death,⁸ stroke,^{9,10} heart failure¹¹ and peripheral arterial disease,¹² remains significantly higher than in the general population, and cannot be explained by PIs alone.¹³

Some studies show a higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, cigarette smoking,¹⁴ metabolic syndrome,¹⁵ diabetes,¹⁶ dyslipidemia,¹⁷ and depression¹⁸ in HIV-infected individuals compared with uninfected individuals. However, other studies have found no significant differences between the two populations. These discrepancies may be related to methodological aspects or the low prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in HIV-infected patients included in the studies. In recent years, the scientific community has debated the specific weight of each of the factors involved in this increased risk, seeking to reduce the effect of antiretroviral therapy on HIV infection and on host-dependent factors.

The distribution of HIV is very different depending on the geographic area. The economic and social situation also plays an important role. In Europe, the situation is different from the reality in sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America or Asia. In the last decade, the number of infections has remained stable in Europe, while in Asia, Latin America and Eastern Europe the percentage of infections has increased.

Access to ART and the quality of health services differ according to geographical areas. Different dietary, urban, and social habits will play an important role in the development of cardiovascular diseases. Our understanding of this question is more developed for Europe¹⁹ and the USA, thanks to the majority of research. A summary of the most important studies is shown in Table 1.

Classic cardiovascular risk factors related to HIV patients

Specific mechanisms that contribute to increased CVD include smoking, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension

and biological sex.²⁰ As mentioned above, there are some regions in which exposure to any of these factors may be higher.

In PLWH, lifestyle habits, such as the consumption of toxic substances such as tobacco, alcohol, cocaine, and a sedentary lifestyle, as well as the high frequency with which patients develop dyslipidemia and insulin resistance, play a recognized role in the development of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected persons. All these factors and its higher intensity have a recognized role in the development of cardiovascular disease in PLWH.

Smoking rates among HIV-infected adults worldwide are generally higher than in the general population.²¹ Drug addicts to parenteral lattes acquire hepatitis C and/or B in almost 80–90%. It is well known that infections in general, and for example hepatitis C, are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. In the case of hepatitis B, this association has not been proven.

Although controversial, CMV infection has been associated with an increased risk of CVD in the general population and with coronary atherosclerosis in cardiac transplant recipients. It is possible that CMV-associated immune responses play a key role in the development of atherosclerosis in persons living with HIV.

Tools and based CVD prediction models

The increased CVD morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected patients warrants routine implementation of inexpensive and noninvasive risk assessment tools such as CVD risk estimation calculators. However, the predictability of existing CVD risk calculators derived and generally validated in HIV-uninfected patient populations have been variable, with many studies suggesting they may estimate CVD risk in HIV-infected patients inaccurately.

USA

The United States have historically used the Framingham Risk Score (FRS), which was developed from the Framingham Heart Study, to predict individuals' 10-year risk of developing CHD.

In 2013, the Atherosclerotic CVD (ASCVD) risk score derived from the Pooled Cohort Equation was developed by the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association and has begun replacing the FRS.²² The ASCVD risk score includes the variables in the FRS plus diabetes mellitus diagnosis or treatment.

Europe

There have been some widely used CVD prediction models that were derived in Europe as well. The United Kingdom utilizes the QRISK2 score to predict the 10-year risk of CVD defined in this case as CHD, stroke, and transient ischemic attack.²³

The Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) is a risk estimator of 10-year fatal CVD derived from 12 European cohort studies mainly from general population settings.²⁴ Another tool was the Prospective Cardiovascu-

Table 1 – Studies on the association of HIV status and clinical cardiovascular disease

	Location	N. of participants	N. of HIV cases	N. of CVD events	Mean age (SD)	Outcome	Measure of effect	Effect estimate (95% CI)
Stroke								
Qureshi et al. (1997)	Grady Memorial Hospital, USA	236	113	68	36 (6)	Cerebral infarction	Odds ratio	3.2 (1.1–8.9)
Cole et al. (2004)	Baltimore-Washington Cooperative Young Stroke Study, USA	386	6	386	36	Ischemic stroke	Odds ratio	13.70 (6.10–30.80)
Chow et al. (2012)	Massachusetts General Hospital and Brigham and Women's Hospital, USA	36 731	4 308	914	41 (12)	Ischemic stroke	Hazard ratio	1.21 (1.01–1.46)
Mateen et al. (2013)	Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study, USA	3 945	1 776	114	42	All stroke	Relative risk	2.16 (1.39–3.31)
Walker et al. (2013)	Rural Hai district in northern Tanzania and urban Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania	201	25	201	61 (13)	All stroke	Odds ratio	5.61 (2.41–13.09)
Marcus et al. (2014)	Kaiser Permanente Southern California and Northern California, USA	28 2368	24 768	1 279	40 (10)	Ischemic stroke	Incidence rate ratio	1.4 (1.2–1.7)
Rasmussen et al. (2015)	Danish HIV Cohort Study	58 970	5 897	1 785	37	Stroke	Incidence rate ratio	1.84 (1.60–2.13)
Sico et al. (2015)	Veterans Aging Cohort Study, USA	76 835	25 434	910	49 (9)	Ischemic stroke	Hazard ratio	1.17 (1.01–1.36)
Benjamin et al. (2016)	Malawi urban hospital; stroke cases and community controls	725	69	222	59	All stroke	Odds ratio	3.28 (2.05–5.25)
Alonso et al. (2019)	Truven Health MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter and the Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits databases, USA	79 100	19 798	93	43 (13)	Stroke	Hazard ratio	2.3 (1.5–3.6)
Myocardial infarction								
Triant et al. (2009)	Massachusetts General Hospital and Brigham and Women's Hospital, USA	70 357	487		Mid-50s	Acute myocardial infarction	Odds ratio	1.93 (1.21–2.93)
Lang et al. (2010)	French hospital database on HIV	74 958	74 958	360	Not provided	Myocardial infarction	Standardised morbidity ratio	1.5 (1.3–1.7) men; 1.4 (1.3–1.6) women
Durand et al. (2011)	Régie de l'Assurance maladie du Québec, Canada	27 734	7 053	365	40 (11)	Acute myocardial infarction	Hazard ratio	2.11 (1.69–2.63)
Klein et al. (2015)	Kaiser Permanente Southern California and Northern California, USA	282 368	24 768	2 803	40	Myocardial infarction	Incidence rate ratio	1.40 (1.20–1.60)
Althoff et al. (2015)	Veterans Aging Cohort Study, USA	83 527	56 274	689	Mid-50s	Acute myocardial infarction	Hazard ratio	1.76 (1.49–2.07)
Rasmussen et al. (2015)	Danish HIV cohort	58 970	5 897	1 238	Median 37 (IQR 31–44)	Myocardial infarction	Incidence rate ratio	2.02 (1.71–2.38)
Alonso et al. (2019)	Truven Health MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter and Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits databases, USA	79 100	19 798	154	43 (13)	Myocardial infarction	Hazard ratio	1.2 (0.8–1.8)

Pokračování na další straně

Table 1 – Studies on the association of HIV status and clinical cardiovascular disease (Dokončení)

Heart failure									
Freiberg et al. (2017)	Veterans Aging Cohort Study, USA	98 015	31 523	2 636	48 (10)	Congestive heart failure	Hazard ratio	1.41 (1.29–1.54)	
Feinstein et al. (2018)	HIV Electronic Comprehensive Cohort of CVD Complications (Northwestern Medicine), USA	7 371	4 640	152	40 (11)	Adjudicated heart failure	Hazard ratio	2.10 (1.38–3.21)	
Alonso et al. (2019)	Truven Health MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter and Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits databases, USA	79 100	19 798	223	43 (13)	Heart failure	Hazard ratio	2.8 (2.0–3.8)	
Peripheral artery disease									
Alonso et al. (2019)	Truven Health MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter and Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits databases, USA	79 100	19 798	98	43 (13)	Peripheral artery disease	Hazard ratio	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	
Beckman et al. (2019)	Veterans Aging Cohort Study, USA	91 953	28 714	7 708	48 (10)	Peripheral artery disease	Hazard ratio	1.19 (1.13–1.25)	
Lai et al. (2018)	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, HIV Surveillance Database	2 000 000	26 272	55	32 (10)	Peripheral artery disease	Standardised incidence rate	0.87 (0.65–1.13)	
Sudden cardiac death									
Tseng et al. (2012)		2 860	2 860	30	Median 39 (IQR 33–45)	Sudden cardiac death	Standardised mortality ratio	4.46	
Lai et al. (2018)	Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, HIV Surveillance Database	General population	26 272	82		Sudden cardiac death	Standardised incidence rate	3.01 (2.39–3.73)	
Alvi et al. (2019)	Bronx Lebanon Hospital Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, USA	2 149	344	191	60 (9)	Sudden cardiac death	Odds ratio	3.0 (1.78–4.24)	
Tseng et al. (CROI 2019, unpublished)	HIV specialty clinic in San Francisco, California, USA	552	47	552	51–63	Sudden cardiac death	Incidence rate ratio	1.86 (1.39–2.50)	
Freiberg et al. (CROI 2019, unpublished)	Veterans Aging Cohort Study, USA	144 336	43 407	3 035	50 (11)	Sudden cardiac death	Hazard ratio	1.14 (1.04–1.25)	

lar Münster (PROCAM) score that was derived from a German cohort of industrial employees to predict the risk of CVD.²⁵

Nonetheless, the various risk prediction models also share some similarities with significant overlap of predictive variables and derivation from high-income European countries or American cohorts.

None of the CVD risk estimation tools described above were derived from HIV-infected populations, and therefore they may not adequately predict the risk of developing CVD in HIV-infected patients. A CVD risk model for HIV-positive patients was derived from the D:A:D study by Friis-Møller et al. The variables included in their model included: age, sex, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, family history of CVD, diabetes mellitus, total cholesterol, HDL-C, indinavir (IDV), lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), and abacavir (ABC) exposure. This model more accurately predicted observed MI, CHD, and CVD rates compared to FRS.

Sergio Serrano-Villar et al. aimed to compare the agreement and diagnostic performance of Framingham, SCORE and D:A:D equations for the recognition of subclinical atherosclerosis in HIV patients and to adjust the D:A:D equation using HIV and CVD variables, finding the D:A:D equation overperforms Framingham and SCORE in HIV patients. However, all three equations underestimate the presence of subclinical atherosclerosis in this population.²⁶

An interesting study compares cardiovascular risk prediction scores in HIV-infected patients: the Framingham, Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease risk score (ASCVD), Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation for the Netherlands (SCORE-NL), and Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) risk prediction models and concluded that when using FHS-CVD and FHS-CHD, a higher overall CVD risk was attributed to the HIV-infected patients than when using the D:A:D, ASCVD, and SCORE-NL models.²⁷

An other interesting study was derived from people living with HIV engaged in care at JPS Health Network (JPS), a large, urban safety-net health system in North Texas. They estimated the predicted 5-year risk of CVD using and validating the original or updated D:A:D model for HIV populations finding that the HIV D:A:D model may be useful for decisions about CVD intervention for high-risk patients.²⁸

Recently in a study conducted in Germany, Framingham Risk Score (FRS), the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) and the Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) risk score in PLWH were compared with the general population to quantify score-specific differences in risk prediction. Associations between risk scores and future CVD were observed in both cohorts, but the score performances were less reliable in PLWH than in the general population.²⁹

Depending on the population to be studied and until a new CVD risk assessment tool has been derived and validated in the HIV population, we are left to apply and choose between FRS, or the newer ASCVD risk score to HIV-infected patients³⁰ or the D:A:D cardiovascular disease risk score that has recently been updated to facilitate use in everyday clinical practice (Table 2).³¹

Table 2 – Assessing CV risk and metabolic disorders to individualize therapy

1. No specific risk equation for PLWH, other than D:A:D risk equation (<http://www.cphiv.dk/TOOLS/tabid/437/Default.aspx>) (a)
2. Current equation can underestimate risk in PLWH²⁶
3. Can use traditional CV risk factors, or AHA/ACC pooled cohort equation⁴
 - Includes age, diabetes, smoking, HTN, dyslipidemia
 - ASCVD risk enhances in HIV identified in 2018 ACC/AHA cholesterol clinical practice guidelines include:
 - History of prolonged HIV viremia and/or delay in ART initiation
 - Low current or nadir CD4 count (< 350 cells/mm³)
 - HIV treatment failure or non-adherence
 - Metabolic syndrome, lipodystrophy/lipoatrophy, fat liver disease
 - HCV co-infection

Mechanisms related to HIV infection

The mechanisms involved in the proinflammatory effects in PLWH are directly related to the presence of human immunodeficiency virus.²⁰ The depletion of T lymphocytes increases intestinal permeability, altering lipid metabolism and favoring bacterial translocation.³² CD4 depletion is associated with increased cardiovascular risk and a higher incidence of acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, peripheral artery disease, and ischemic stroke. This phenomenon is well studied and several studies have shown that inflammatory biomarkers are elevated.³³ Many of these markers are known to be associated with atherogenesis and consequently with major cardiovascular events.

Lipodystrophy may also be a contributing factor to increased cardiovascular risk due to metabolic changes. Lipodystrophy, which is an abnormal distribution of body fat, occurs as a result of HIV infection and, in fact, with some antiretroviral drugs. This fat change can be in the form of lipoacoumulo, with an increase in fat in the abdomen, breasts and/or buffalo hump, which remembers the metabolic syndrome in the HIV-infected patient to show the same metabolic changes. It can also manifest as lipoatrophy, with loss of fat from the extremities and face. It is not uncommon for patients to have mixed lipodystrophy. Lipodystrophy is associated with dyslipidemia and insulin resistance.³⁴

Atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis is considered a chronic inflammatory process. HIV infection is known to cause endothelial dysfunction. HIV infects smooth muscle cells in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and promotes the secretion of inflammatory cytokines.

The differences between atherosclerosis in patients without HIV and PLWH are morphological. Imaging studies confirm these differences. Techniques such as ultrasound and computed tomographic angiography show a higher prevalence of hypogenic plaques and a higher incidence of CV events.^{35–37} Using angiographic computed tomography, noncalcified plaques are more com-

mon and more extensive in HIV patients compared to control groups.³⁸

Endothelial dysfunction and novel biomarkers

Endothelial dysfunction is prevalent among HIV-infected patients despite successful administration of antiretroviral drugs. It is important to recognize that these are surrogate markers of subclinical disease that do not necessarily translate into observed CVD events.³⁹

Endothelial function could be measured with noninvasive methods like blood-based biomarkers, such as endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1 (E-selectin), soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1), soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (sVCAM-1), von Willebrand factor (vWF), TNF- α , interleukin 6 (IL-6) and soluble thrombomodulin (STM).⁴⁰

In addition to mortality, higher circulating inflammatory markers are associated with contemporaneous insulin resistance or the future risk of diabetes in PLWH.

Factors associated with antiretroviral therapy

The adverse effects of ART in CVD must be balanced against the beneficial effects of the therapy. Older ART regimens (e.g. abacavir, lopinavir, and ritonavir) had adverse effects on glucose metabolism, lipids, and mitochondrial toxicity. Weight gain after initiation of ART and viral suppression partly reflects the effects of viral suppression.⁴¹ HIV patients undergoing cART exhibit a partial reversal of immune activation and inflammation. Additionally, cART reduces opportunistic infections and cardiovascular risk factors, which is likely a result of some reduction in inflammation, although residual markers of inflammation and coagulation remain elevated in ART-treated HIV-infected patients.

There are currently 6 major groups of antiretroviral drugs. The scientific community has made many efforts to try to catalogue the cardiovascular risk of antiretroviral drugs. The impact of each on cardiovascular disease is difficult to assess for the following limitations:

- a) It is necessary to combine two or three drugs to achieve viral suppression.
- b) Continuous treatment reduces the risk, but some drugs alone increase this risk.
- c) The increased risk due to ART would be mediated by abnormalities of lipid metabolism, other metabolic alterations are still poorly understood, such as coagulation alterations.
- d) The increase in cardiovascular risk increases gradually with years of treatment.
- e) The change from antiretroviral medication to less toxic ones makes it difficult to assess the real risk.
- f) The follow-up is relatively short.
- g) And at least ART history is unclear, and most do not have uninfected HIV controls.

Historically with the administration of ART and the emergence of protease inhibitors (PIs), cases of early atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction began to emerge in HIV-infected patients. The temporal relationship between

these facts suggested some causality. The possible cardiovascular effect of these early PIs (nelfinavir or zidovudine in high doses) was mainly because they caused significant metabolic and fatty changes. Since then, new drugs and even new therapeutic targets have emerged. Current antiretroviral therapy generally requires a combination of three or two active drugs⁴² for sustained control of HIV replication and avoid resistance.

Until now the largest prospective cohort study that compiles data on adverse effects of anti-HIV drugs (the DAD study with more than 23.000 consecutive patients), the incidence of myocardial infarction is low (3.5 cases per 1.000 person-years), and conventional cardiovascular risk factors show a higher relative contribution to the development of myocardial infarction than PI exposure. In this study, the relative risk attributable to PIs (16% increase in risk of drug exposure per year) was halved after adjustment for increases in total cholesterol and decreases in HDL cholesterol, suggesting that a substantial portion of the risk attributable to PIs cannot be explained solely by lipid changes. The DAD cohort evaluated the contribution of each NRTI to the risk of myocardial infarction and the results were surprising. Contrary to expectations, patients taking thymidine analog inhibitors were not associated with increased cardiovascular risk, despite their contribution to dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and lipodystrophy. Surprisingly, exposure to abacavir or didanosine in the past 6 months was associated with a 90% and 50% increased risk of myocardial infarction, respectively, after adjustment for several cardiovascular factors. Interestingly, the excess risk as described by the authors quickly disappears after treatment. The relative risk associated with these patients was higher in those with high cardiovascular risk.⁴³

Also in the SMART study (Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy),⁴⁴ the episodic use of ART was compared with continuous ART. During 16 months of follow up, the episodic use of ART was associated with an increased risk of death and opportunistic infections including an increase in CV events. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers in SMART, namely IL-6 and D-dimer, were strongly related to all-cause mortality, suggesting that this was the mechanism whereby intermittent ART increased the risk.⁴⁵ In the SMART study, the use of abacavir (but not didanosine) is associated with excess risk of myocardial infarction and other cardiovascular events. The increased risk is not due to lipid changes, according to both studies. Researchers found higher levels of inflammatory markers in patients taking abacavir than in those who did not, suggesting a potential fire mechanism. Another alternative for pathogenesis speculates that abacavir may interfere with the pro-inflammatory signaling molecules tri-adenosine (ATP) and diphosphate (ADP) present in vascular endothelial cells.⁴⁶

No definitive conclusions can be drawn from the currently available data. The fact that abacavir is associated with an excess risk of myocardial infarction according to the DAD cohort does not necessarily imply that abacavir plays a causal role.

A different group of ART, NNRTI, shows a superior cardiovascular profile compared with the PI family in clinical trials. The results are not limited exclusively to a higher increase in HDL cholesterol, but also to a de-

Table 3 – Different ART impact CVD differently

ART	Observation	Reference
NRTIs	D:A:D study evaluated NRTI exposure and excess risk of MI	Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A:D study: a multi-cohort collaboration. <i>Lancet</i> 2008;371:1417–1426.
	No association found between rate of MI and cumulative or recent zidovudine, stavudine, or lamivudine use	
	Recent but not cumulative abacavir or didanosine use was associated with increase rate of MI	
PIs	Associated with IM in D:A:D study	Feinstein MJ, Hsue PY, Benjamin LA, et al. Characteristics, Prevention, and Management of Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. <i>Circulation</i> 2019;140:e98–e124.
	Each year of cumulative PI use associated with 10% greater risk of MI, even after adjustment for cholesterol changes caused by PIs	
	Multiple reports show link between PIs and increase risk of MI, including:	Palella FJJ, Phair JP. Cardiovascular disease in HIV infection. <i>Curr Opin HIV AIDS</i> 2011;6:266–271.
	Ritonavir, indinavir, and fixed combination of lopinavir/ritonavir	
INIs	Database study of 20.459 patients initiated on ART	O'Halloran JA, Dunne E, Tinago W, et al. Switching from abacavir to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate is associated with rises in soluble glycoprotein VI, suggesting changes in platelet–collagen interactions. <i>AIDS</i> 2018;32:861–866.
	INI based regimens were associated with a 43% decrease risk of CVD compared with non-INI based regimens	O'Halloran JA, Sahrman JM, Butler AM, et al. Brief Report: Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors Are Associated With Lower Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV. <i>J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr</i> 2020;84:396–399.
NNRTIs	Demonstrated to give rise to pro atherogenic serum lipid profiles	Palella FJJ, Phair JP. Cardiovascular disease in HIV infection. <i>Curr Opin HIV AIDS</i> 2011;6:266–271.
	Unclear increases seen in LDL-C reflected greater CVD risk given that HDL-C also increases and exposure is not associated with greater risk of MI	Baker JV, Sharma S, Achhra AC, et al. Changes in Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors With Immediate Versus Deferred Antiretroviral Therapy Initiation Among HIV-Positive Participants in the START (Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Treatment) Trial. <i>J Am Heart Assoc</i> 2017;6:e004987.

crease in procoagulant markers and to a lower oxidative stress.

Other recent studies with integrase inhibitors suggest that dyslipidemia was less common in patients treated with the INSTI regime. Dyslipidemia was less common with INSTI than with PI/b. Compared with dolutegravir, dyslipidemia was more common with elvitegravir/cobicistat and raltegravir, but less common with rilpivirine.⁴⁷ Other studies show that the risk of CVD in PLWH on INSTI-based regimens were associated with a 43% decreased risk of CVD. Authors agree that validation of these findings in cohorts with longer follow-up is needed (Table 3).⁴⁸

Aging patients with HIV, like the general population, tend to have polypharmacy, which increases drug interactions and consequent adverse reactions.

Insulin resistance and ART

It is generally accepted that there is a correlation between innate immune system activation and insulin resistance, which contributes to glucose metabolism dysregulation and dyslipidemia. However, untreated HIV patients display an enhanced inflammatory state, which is characterized by high levels of proinflamma-

tory cytokines, like tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and interleukins (IL-6 and IL-1 β), and is associated with a procoagulant state. Under these conditions, the insulin resistance is probably severe and could occur in the liver, muscle, and adipose tissue. In fact, severe insulin resistance in the adipose tissue (as observed in HIV untreated patients), may prevent adipose mass gain as described in mice.⁴⁹

Protease inhibitors (PI) or nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) have been shown to induce insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and lipodystrophy, and consequently, increase cardiovascular risk.⁵⁰

Insulin resistance can be caused by specific *in vitro* drugs, including indinavir and other first-generation IPs. Clinical trials that initiate treatment with different long-term PIs (such as lopinavir/ritonavir, saquinavir/ritonavir, or tipranavir/ritonavir), moreover, do not show significant changes in insulin sensitivity when the ART regimen does not include NRTIs. Thymidine analogous (NRTIs) such as stavudine and zidovudine, have been associated with increased insulin resistance in healthy volunteers; similarly, didanosine or thymidine analogs have been described in large cohort studies of HIV-infected patients to confer an increased risk of diabetes mellitus (Table 4).

Table 4 – The effect of HIV and ART on cardiometabolic health

PLWH have:	ART can cause
Higer risk of traditional risk factors	<i>Dyslipidemia</i>
• <i>Dyslipidemia</i>	<i>Insulin resistance</i>
• <i>Metabolic disease</i>	<i>Lipodystrophy</i>
• <i>Smoking</i>	<i>Cardiometabolic syndrom</i>
• <i>HTN</i>	
• <i>Substance use</i>	
Higer rate of metabolic complications	<i>Chronic inflammation, HIV-related viremia, and immune dysfunction are associated with higher MI risks</i>
• <i>Dyslipidemia</i>	
• <i>Insulin resistance</i>	
• <i>Body composition changes</i>	
Chronic inflammation	
Immune activation	

Conclusion

The absolute risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy is low. However, this risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients is higher compared with uninfected individuals. This is due, at least in large part, to the higher prevalence of classic cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, HIV infection may contribute to this risk through immunological activation, inflammation, and immunodeficiency. Also, although compared with HIV infections, the type of antiretroviral therapy may contribute to increased cardiovascular risk, primarily through metabolic changes, body-level changes, and other factors that are currently unclear. From a purely cardiovascular perspective, the benefits of antiretroviral therapy outweigh the potential risks.

A big field in PLWH is the prevention of major adverse cardiovascular events. Since HIV is becoming a chronic disease, it is necessary to think about the prevention of cardiovascular disease. Starting from the basics such as education of good alimentary habits, physical activity, reducing alcohol, and treating drug consumption. In outpatients, it's necessary to control blood pressure values, the lipid profile, and have EKG recordings. Stress testing, echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance, and radionuclide imaging are also effective methods to identify patients who have a less coronary reserve.

Currently, there are programs that allow warning of drug interactions. The treating physician should prevent possible adverse interactions by looking for alternatives in therapy.

As can be seen, a complex and complete approach is required in the HIV patient. PLWH are not the same patients of 30 years ago when the main goal was practically to avoid opportunistic infections in patients already diagnosed late. As the morbidity and mortality from CVD in the HIV population increases, preventive and therapeutic efforts to provide these patients with the best care must increase as well. This all begins with an accurate CVD risk assessment.

Conflict of interest

All authors have no conflicts of interest in this article.

References

- Samji H, Cescon A, Hogg RS, et al. Closing the Gap: Increases in Life Expectancy among Treated HIV-Positive Individuals in the United States and Canada. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e81355.
- Brouillette J, Cyr S, Fiset C. Mechanisms of Arrhythmia and Sudden Cardiac Death in Patients With HIV Infection. *Can J Cardiol* 2019;35:310–319.
- Alonso A, Barnes AE, Guest JL, et al. HIV Infection and Incidence of Cardiovascular Diseases: An Analysis of a Large Healthcare Database. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2019;8:e012241.
- Feinstein MJ, Hsue PY, Benjamin LA, et al. Characteristics, Prevention, and Management of Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2019;140:e98–e124.
- Behrens G, Schmidt H, Meyer D, et al. Vascular complications associated with use of HIV protease inhibitors. *Lancet* 1998;351:1958.
- Casale M, Mezzetti M, Tulino V, et al. Therapy Of Cardiac Arrhythmias In Children: An Emerging Role Of Electroanatomical Mapping Systems. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2018;16:528–533.
- Rasmussen LD, Omland LH, Pedersen C, et al. Risk of myocardial infarction in parents of HIV-infected Individuals: a population-based Cohort Study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010;10:169.
- Abdelazeem B, Gergis K, Baral N, et al. Sudden Cardiac Death and Sudden Cardiac Arrest in Patients with Human Immunodeficiency Virus: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* 2021;13:e13764.
- Monreal E, Gullón P, Pérez-Torre P, et al. Increased HIV infection in patients with stroke in Spain. A 16-year population-based study. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed)* 2020;38:219–225.
- Qureshi AI, Janssen RS, Karon JM, et al. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection and Stroke in Young Patients. *Arch Neurol* 1997;54:1150–1153.
- Hsue PY, Waters DD. Heart failure in persons living with HIV infection. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2017;12:534–539.
- Paraskevas KI, Katsiki N, Tzovaras AA, et al. Peripheral Arterial Disease and HIV-Positive Patients. *Angiology* 2011;62:7–9.
- Hsue PY, Waters DD. Time to Recognize HIV Infection as a Major Cardiovascular Risk Factor. *Circulation* 2018;138:1113–1115.
- Kelly SG, Plankey M, Post WS, et al. Associations between Tobacco, Alcohol, and Drug Use with Coronary Artery Plaque among HIV-Infected and Uninfected Men in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0147822.
- Naidu S, Ponnampalvanar S, Kamaruzzaman SB, et al. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome Among People Living with HIV in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2017;31:1–13.
- Herrin M, Tate JP, Akgün KM, et al. Weight Gain and Incident Diabetes Among HIV-Infected Veterans Initiating Antiretroviral Therapy Compared With Uninfected Individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2016;73:228–236.
- Maggi P, Di Biagio A, Rusconi S, et al. Cardiovascular risk and dyslipidemia among persons living with HIV: a review. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017;17:551.
- Rezaei S, Ahmadi S, Rahmati J, et al. Global prevalence of depression in HIV/AIDS: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2019;9:404–412.
- Shah ASV, Stelzle D, Lee KK, et al. Global Burden of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV. *Circulation* 2018;138:1100–1112.
- Hsue PY. Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Disease in the Setting of HIV Infection. *Can J Cardiol* 2019;35:238–248.
- De Socio GV, Pasqualini M, Ricci E, et al. Smoking habits in HIV-infected people compared with the general population in Italy: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:734.
- Goff DC, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA

- Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:2935–2959.
23. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y, et al. Predicting cardiovascular risk in England and Wales: prospective derivation and validation of QRISK2. *BMJ* 2008;336:1475–1482.
 24. Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J* 2003;24:987–1003.
 25. Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H. Simple Scoring Scheme for Calculating the Risk of Acute Coronary Events Based on the 10-Year Follow-Up of the Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM) Study. *Circulation* 2002;105:310–315.
 26. Serrano-Villar S, Estrada V, Gómez-Garre D, et al. Diagnosis of subclinical atherosclerosis in HIV-infected patients: higher accuracy of the D:A:D risk equation over Framingham and SCORE algorithms. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2014;21:739–748.
 27. Krikke M, Hoogeveen RC, Hoepelman AIM, et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction in HIV-infected patients: comparing the Framingham, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk score (ASCVD), Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation for the Netherlands (SCORE-NL) and Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) risk prediction models. *HIV Medicine* 2016;17:289–297.
 28. Anikpo I, Agovi AMA, Cvitanovich MJ, et al. The data-collection on adverse effects of anti-HIV drugs (D:A:D) model for predicting cardiovascular events: External validation in a diverse cohort of people living with HIV. *HIV Med* 2021;22:936–943.
 29. Schulz CA, Mavarani L, Reinsch N, et al. Prediction of future cardiovascular events by Framingham, SCORE and asCVD risk scores is less accurate in HIV-positive individuals from the HIV-HEART Study compared with the general population. *HIV Med* 2021;22:732–741.
 30. Thompson-Paul AM, Lichtenstein KA, Armon C, et al. Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction in the HIV Outpatient Study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;63:1508–1516.
 31. Friis-Møller N, Ryom L, Smith C, et al. An updated prediction model of the global risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-positive persons: The Data-collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016;23:214–223.
 32. Brechley JM, Price DA, Schacker TW, et al. Microbial translocation is a cause of systemic immune activation in chronic HIV infection. *Nat Med* 2006;12:1365–1371.
 33. Neuhaus J, Jacobs DR Jr, Baker JV, et al. Markers of Inflammation, Coagulation, and Renal Function Are Elevated in Adults with HIV Infection. *J Infect Dis* 2010;201:1788–1795.
 34. Grunfeld C, Saag M, Cofrancesco JJ, et al. Regional adipose tissue measured by MRI over 5 years in HIV-infected and control participants indicates persistence of HIV-associated lipodystrophy. *AIDS* 2010;24:1717–1726.
 35. Casale M, Quattrocchi S, Bitto R, et al. Cardiac implantable devices and takotsubo syndrome. A rare but potential eventuality. *Cor Vasa* 2018;60:e500–e502.
 36. Casale M, Correale M, Laterra G, et al. Effects of Sacubitril/Valsartan in Patients with High Arrhythmic Risk and an ICD: A Longitudinal Study. *Clin Drug Investig* 2021;41:169–176.
 37. Casale M, Mezzetti M, De Fazio MG, et al. Usefulness of Sacubitril/Valsartan in reduction of atrial fibrillation burden in a patient with ICD delivering inappropriate therapies. A new possibility? *Cor Vasa* 2020;62:336–339.
 38. Post WS, Budoff M, Kingsley L, et al. Associations Between HIV Infection and Subclinical Coronary Atherosclerosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;160:458–467.
 39. Haissman JM, Haugaard AK, Knudsen A, et al. Marker of Endothelial Dysfunction Asymmetric Dimethylarginine Is Elevated in HIV Infection but Not Associated With Subclinical Atherosclerosis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2016;73:507–513.
 40. Vos AG, Idris NS, Barth RE, et al. Pro-Inflammatory Markers in Relation to Cardiovascular Disease in HIV Infection. A Systematic Review. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0147484.
 41. Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) Study Group, El-Sadr WM, Lundgren JD, et al. CD4+ count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:2283–2296.
 42. Pisaturo M, Onorato L, Russo A, et al. Risk of failure in dual therapy versus triple therapy in naïve HIV patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021;27:28–35.
 43. Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A:D study: a multi-cohort collaboration. *Lancet* 2008;371:1417–1426.
 44. Baker JV, Sharma S, Achhra AC, et al. Changes in Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors With Immediate Versus Deferred Antiretroviral Therapy Initiation Among HIV-Positive Participants in the START (Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Treatment) Trial. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;6:e004987.
 45. Kuller LH, Tracy R, Bellosso W, et al. Inflammatory and Coagulation Biomarkers and Mortality in Patients with HIV Infection. *PLoS Med* 2008;5:e203.
 46. Strategies for Management of Anti-Retroviral Therapy/INSIGHT; DAD Study Groups. Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 2008;22:F17.
 47. The RESPOND Study Group. Incidence of dyslipidemia in people with HIV who are treated with integrase inhibitors versus other antiretroviral agents. *AIDS* 2021;35:869–882.
 48. O'Halloran JA, Sahrmann J, Butler AM, et al. Brief Report: Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors Are Associated With Lower Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2020;84:396–399.
 49. Blüher M, Kahn BB, Kahn CR. Extended Longevity in Mice Lacking the Insulin Receptor in Adipose Tissue. *Science* 2003;299:572–574.
 50. Willig AL, Overton ET. Metabolic Complications and Glucose Metabolism in HIV Infection: A Review of the Evidence. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep* 2016;13:289–296.