Complications of catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation
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ABSTRACT

Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation is a modern therapeutic method that effectively prevents arrhythmia recurrences. Because of the complexity nature of this procedure, it is not surprising that the rate of complications is higher compared with other types of catheter ablations. This review focuses on the most important complications, and discusses their prevention, diagnosis, and therapy.

Incidence of complications in AF ablation

The incidence of complications associated with selective ablation for AF ranges in several registries between 3.9% and 20%.
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and 6% [2–4]. This variation in incidence of complications reflects the learning curve period and different adopted technology and strategies in different centers. Data from recent worldwide survey reported an overall incidence of complications of 4.5% with fatal outcome in 0.15% [3]. Data from high-volume single centers reported similar incidence of major complications (Table 1). The most recent data that reflect real life scenario come from the European EORP Pilot registry with overall complication rate of 7.7%, of which 1.7% was major [5]. The most frequent are vascular complications (pseudoaneurysms, arteriovenous fistulas, and hematomas), cardioembolic events (stroke and transient ischemic attacks), and pericardial perforation (effusion/tamponade). The latter, together with rare atrio-esophageal fistula, is the major cause of possible fatal outcome.

### Thromboembolic complications

Thromboembolism is one of the most serious complications of AF ablation. The incidence of periprocedural thromboembolic events varies from 1% to 7%, depending on the ablation strategy and anticoagulation regimen used in this period [3,8]. In one study, a high incidence of silent cerebral thromboembolism following AF ablation has also been reported, however, its clinical impact is still not clear [7].

It is important to emphasize that each patient with AF has a baseline risk for a thromboembolic event that can be estimated by indices such as the CHA2DS2-VASc score [8]. Catheter ablation could further temporarily increase the risk of thromboembolic events. A number of risk factors for the development of thromboembolic complications have been proposed. These include persistent activation of the coagulation cascade due to intravascular placement of catheters [9] and endothelial disruption resulting from ablation [10], cardioversion during AF ablation [7], impairment of normal left atrial (LA) contraction or “atrial stunning” [11] and reduction in the LA transport function [12], ablation of persistent AF [13], and finally anticoagulation swing between warfarin through low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) to intravenous heparin and back to oral anticoagulation [14].

Thromboembolic events can occur during ablation procedure or within a period up to 2 weeks after ablation. The clinical manifestation varies according to location of the involved arterial bed. The most feared is intracranial embolism leading to stroke. Less common is coronary artery and/or peripheral embolization. Cerebral embolization is usually treated conservatively with heparin, whereas, in peripheral embolization surgical embolectomy is also recommended.

Anticoagulation therapy during pre-, peri- and post-ablation period is the cornerstone of prevention strategy for thromboembolic events. Trans-esophageal echocardiography (TEE) is recommended in intermediate- to high-risk patients prior to procedure to exclude preformed thrombus in the LA. However, we learned from previous practice of using intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) that introducing needle or sheath into LA may quickly trigger a new thrombus formation. Because of this experience, we adopted a strategy of administering intravenous heparin at the beginning of the ablation procedure – well before the first transseptal puncture. Additionally, procedural TEE or ICE can be helpful in stratifying high-risk patients with smoke-like echogenicity in the LA. In these patients, a more aggressive anticoagulation regimen should be considered to prevent LA thrombus formation [15]. ICE may also help in an early detection of thrombus in LA during the procedure (Fig. 1).

Until recently, warfarin was usually stopped 3 days prior to the procedure and “bridged” with LMWH. However, several reports on periprocedural therapeutic anticoagulation with warfarin showed a reduced risk of periprocedural stroke without increased bleeding compli-
Thromboembolism is one of the most serious complications resulting from ablation [10], cardioversion during AF ablation, periprocedural stroke without increased bleeding complications [11,12]. Although cardiac tamponade can occur in any ablation procedure, its incidence is somewhat higher with AF ablation (0.8–2.9%) [2,4,19]. This can be attributed to the complexity of the procedure, including the common need for two or more transseptal punctures, frequent manipulation with catheters, extensive ablation, and high level of systemic anticoagulation.

Cardiac perforation leading to cardiac tamponade can occur: (1) during transseptal puncture (puncture of the right atrial posterior wall before entering the LA or puncture the roof, appendage, or lateral LA wall), (2) during catheter manipulation (tear of the LA appendage or roof of the LA), or (3) during delivery of radiofrequency energy (overheating with development of steam pop, leading to myocardial rupture). In a swine model, Eick et al. found a significant association between a sudden change in impedance and a risk of developing tamponade [20]. It should be noted that delayed cardiac tamponade, defined as cardiac tamponade occurring one hour or more following an AF ablation procedure, has also been reported [3]. Cardiac tamponade can also occur during cryoballoon ablation procedures with an overall incidence of cardiac tamponade up to 1.6% [21].

 Clinically, cardiac tamponade presents either as an abrupt dramatic fall in blood pressure, or more insidiously, as a gradual decrease in blood pressure. Development of intraprocedural hypotension in any patient should be assumed to be due to tamponade until it is ruled out. A decreased excursion of the left heart border on fluoroscopy in the left anterior oblique projection is an early sign of cardiac tamponade. Diagnosis can be immediately confirmed with transthoracic echocardiography or ICE if used as part of the ablation procedure.

Once the diagnosis of cardiac tamponade confirmed, immediate percutaneous subxiphoid pericardiocentesis with placement of intra-pericardial pig-tail catheter and reversal of anticoagulation with protamine should be performed. After pericardial drainage, the patient needs to be monitored with the drain left in place usually for 24 h. In most cases, the bleeding stops spontaneously, but in some cases cardiac surgery is required, especially in the presence of a tear. It is important to be aware of the possibility of myocardial rupture. In a swine model, Eick et al. found a significant association between myocardial rupture and the development of tamponade [20].

Air embolism

Other less frequent reason for embolic events is air embolism that can occur mainly during introduction or flushing transseptal sheaths. The preferential localization for air emboli is right coronary artery territory due to the most superior position of the arterial ostium in the supine patient. Clinical presentation includes transient acute inferior ischemia and/or heart block. Symptoms usually resolve within few minutes and cardiopulmonary support is rarely needed. Prevention measures include repeated and continuous flushing of sheaths and careful removal of all air bubbles from sheaths.

Cardiac tamponade

Cardiac tamponade is the other important complication that may result in a fatal outcome. Based on analysis of data from registries, it is the most frequent cause of procedural death occurring in association with AF ablation [6]. Although cardiac tamponade can occur in any
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Vascular complications are the most frequent complications of catheter ablation for AF. These include hematoma, retroperitoneal hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula and hemothorax due to subclavian or internal jugular venous access. Higher incidence of vascular complications likely reflects the number and the size of venous sheaths deployed in the setting of intense anticoagulation prior to and following the ablation procedures. An adequate hemostasis following sheath removal is essential. Anticoagulation regimen in the peri-ablation period seems to be an important factor in the occurrence of vascular complications. In a study by Prudente et al., an aggressive anticoagulation protocol of enoxaparin (1 mg/kg × 10 doses) resulted in a higher incidence of vascular complications compared to low doses (0.5 mg/kg × 6 doses) (5.7% vs. 1.6%, p < 0.03) [23]. Many other studies have already shown that performing AF ablation on uninterrupted anticoagulation with warfarin compared with heparin “bridging” has emerged with favorable safety results [14,24–27].

Recently, other risk factors such as venous access gaining by less experienced fellows [28], and lower body weight [13] have been shown to increase vascular complication incidence. The diagnosis and the management of vascular complications are based on general approaches of adequate hemostasis. Most of vascular complications are managed conservatively; however, some vascular complications require surgical intervention.

Atrio-esophageal fistula

Atrio-esophageal fistula is the most serious potential complication. Although its occurrence is rare (0.1–0.25%) [3], its mortality rate is higher than 80% and survivors of this complication are often left with disability from cerebrovascular events. Cadaveric studies have elucidated the relationship between the posterior wall of the LA and esophagus. The esophagus frequently courses within 5 mm of the atrial endocardium at some point in its path. The variable amount of fibro-fatty tissue interposed between the atrium and esophagus can contain vagal nerves and esophageal arteries exposing these structures to potential injury from ablation [29]. The thickness of the fat pad separating the left atrium and esophagus is variable and dependent on age, gender, body weight and left atrial size [29,30]. Application of radiofrequency lesions to the posterior wall is the most important factor responsible for esophageal injury [31]. Ablation of persistent AF as compared with paroxysmal AF is also a risk factor for esophageal injury probably due to more extensive ablation in persistent AF [32]. Gilinson et al. observed that patients with lower body mass index are at a higher risk of esophageal injury [33].

Clinical manifestation of atrio-esophageal fistula occurs usually 2–4 weeks after the ablation procedure. The most common symptoms comprise dysphagia, odynophagia, septic fever, gastrointestinal bleeding and recurrent neurological events due to a massive air embolism. In case of suspicion on atrio-esophageal fistula, urgent cardiac CT or MR scan is recommended. Avoiding endoscopy examination is equally important, since insufflation of the esophagus with air may result in a large air embolus producing stroke or death. Once the fistula is confirmed, urgent surgery is needed.

Because atrio-esophageal fistula is a very serious complication, it is important to make every effort to prevent it. Strategies proposed to prevent esophageal injury during AF ablation include reduced power titration while ablating the posterior left atrium wall, limiting radiofrequency delivery time, using conscious sedation rather than general anesthesia for better pain perception, and monitoring intraprocedural esophageal position in relation to the posterior left atrium wall [34–37]. In this respect, ICE is very useful in real-time visualization of the esophagus. Others use real-time temperature monitoring within the esophagus during the procedure [38]. This is believed to minimize excessive temperature rise in the esophagus and decrease risk of development of the fistula. However, there is no clear proof that this strategy avoids the risk of atrio-esophageal fistula. Whether routinely prescription of proton pump inhibitors or H2 blockers reduce the risk of atrio-esophageal fistula is still not clear.
Pulmonary vein stenosis

Pulmonary vein (PV) stenosis was more frequent (up to 40%) when ablation targeted focal triggers within the PVs [39]. Saad et al. in their early series of catheter ablation between 1998 and 2002 reported an overall incidence of PV stenosis of 15.6% [40]. Subsequent use of ICE to monitor catheter tip position and tissue overheating resulted in abolition of the risk [13]. The current strategy of ablation at the PV ostia significantly decreased the incidence of PV stenosis (0.4–3.4%) [3,4]. The true incidence of PV stenosis is likely underestimated as patient imaging is not routinely performed in most electrophysiology laboratories. Although the precise pathophysiological mechanisms are still uncertain, a progressive vascular reaction including architectural remodeling, intimal proliferation, and fibrosis, as well as thrombus formation have been suggested [41,42].

Therefore, energy delivery distally within the PVs should be avoided. Because PVs anatomy is very variable, CT or MR imaging can help to clarify the anatomy of PVs. At our center we found ICE very helpful to visualize the ostia of PVs and prevent ablation inside PVs.

Clinical signs of significant PV stenosis are not specific and highly variable, and can range from asymptomatic in mild or moderate to severe presentation. They may include cough, dyspnea, hemoptyis, or recurrent pneumonia [40,43]. Symptoms usually develop several weeks to months after the procedure. Diagnosis can be confirmed by CT or MRI scans. Ventilation-perfusion scanning or transesophageal echocardiography with Doppler can also be used. The preferred therapy for severe (> 70%) symptomatic PV stenosis is PV balloon angioplasty or stenting [40,44,45]. However, restenosis can develop despite stent placement and it is estimated to be 44% to 70% [45–47].

Phrenic nerve injury

Phrenic nerve paresis, likely from direct thermal injury, has been described after RF ablation, cryoablation, ultrasound, and laser ablation. The right phrenic nerve can be affected during ablation near the right superior PV or within the superior vena cava, whereas, left phrenic nerve rarely affected during ablation within the LA appendage [24,48]. The use of balloon technologies carries substantially higher risk of this complication, since the balloon has to be pressed inside the ostium and may prolapse deeper into the right superior PV and cause the damage.

Symptoms are usually less pronounced (dyspnea, hiccup, cough, pain, pleural effusion, or atelectasis) and in most cases, phrenic nerve affection recovers after several (typically within 6–12) months. Diagnosis is confirmed on fluoroscopy as unilateral diaphragmatic paralysis.

Strategies to prevent phrenic nerve injury include high output pacing at vulnerable sites and avoiding ablation at sites that stimulate the phrenic nerve.

Injury to the vagus nerve

Injury to the vagal esophageal plexus can occur during RF delivery to the posterior wall of the LA. This can lead to pyloric spasm, gastric hypomotility, and a markedly prolonged gastric emptying time [49]. The common symptoms are abdominal bloating and discomfort occurring hours to days after the procedure [50,51]. Spontaneous recovery typically occurs but may require up to 12 months. Prevention involves the same strategy as prevention of esophageal injury.

Other complications

Other less frequent complications can also occur. These include atrioventricular block, sepsis, pericarditis, mitral valve trauma and circular catheter entrapment and acute coronary artery occlusion of the left circumflex coronary artery.

Experience of IKEM

Recently, we have published data on analysis of complications from our center [13]. The study included consecutive 1,192 AF ablation procedures in 959 patients. All procedures were ICE-guided and performed by open-irrigated tip catheter after switching from warfarin to heparin. The power mode was used with a preset power up to 25–30 W and down-regulation when the tip temperature of 40–42 °C was achieved. Constant irrigation flow of 15 ml/min (30 ml/min inside the coronary sinus) was used. Forty major complications (3.3%) during the procedure or within the 3-month follow-up were observed. No death or atrio-esophageal fistula occurred. Three patients (0.25%) had cardiac tamponade/hemopericardium and five patients (0.42%) had cerebrovascular embolic event. Vascular injury was the most frequent (2.3%) complication including 2 hemothorax, 2 retroperitoneal bleeding, 1 subclavian vein bleeding, 7 femoral arteriovenous fistulas, 4 femoral pseudoaneurysms and 12 groin bleeding. There were also 1 pericarditis, 1 atrioventricular block and 1 transient phrenic nerve paresis. Low body weight was the only significant risk factor with 0.8% increase of complication rate per 10 kg of body weight decrease (p = 0.013). A trend for increase in complication rate was also observed for advanced age, female gender, and complex procedure, i.e. that with more than simple PV isolation.

Conclusions

Catheter ablation is an effective treatment option for AF. Although there is a higher risk of major complications with this procedure, considerable progress in prevention of these events reduced the risk of their incidence. Physicians performing these procedures must be vigilant for complications and skilled in early treatment to increase the safety of AF ablation procedure. Equally important is the fact that many of complications may appear later after ablation, and therefore, every cardiologist should be aware and learn about their presentations and diagnosis.
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